

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

07 DEC 20 AM *big stamp for
Clerk's Office File Stamp*CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
THE HONORABLE JUDGE *BTM*

Judge Barry T. Moskowitz

RECEIVED DATE: 12/18/07

DEPUTY

Kevin Vanginderen, Plaintiff

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FROM: J. Hinkle, Deputy ClerkCASE NO.: 07cv2045-BTM(JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Kevin Vanginderen, PlaintiffCASE TITLE: Vanginderen v Cornell UniversityDOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Reply

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<u>OTHER: No Provision for Surreplies in the Local Rules.</u>

Date forwarded: 12/18/07ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: *BTM*By: *[Signature]*Dated: 12/19/07

cc: All Parties